



LANDFIRE BpS Review What is it? How does it work? Why does it matter?

Randy Swaty, Ecologist The Nature Conservancy LANDFIRE Team

Presented to

Southwest Fire Science Consortium January 20, 2016

LANDFIRE's mission is to provide agency leaders and managers with a common "all-lands" data set of vegetation and wildland fire/fuels information for strategic fire and resource management planning and analysis.

Today's Agenda





Randy Swaty rswaty@tnc.org

- The what and how about LANDFIRE BpS models
- A bit about BpS review: why it's necessary, and how it will work
- How you can be involved
- Where to go for more information and help

BpS = *Biophysical Settings*

Introduction to LANDFIRE

LANDFIRE

Landscape Fire and Resource Management Planning Tools Project

An innovative program designed to **create** and periodically **update** comprehensive vegetation, fire and fuel characteristics **data** using a **consistent process** for the entire United States.



KEYWORDS: nationwide, consistent, ecological models, GIS data, tools, fire/non-fire, spatial data



LANDFIRE

- Uses peer-reviewed, consistent, repeatable scientific methods
- Delivers an "all-lands" spatial dataset of vegetation

LANDFIRE Products

- Vegetation-not just fire
- Fire Regimes
- References and Baselines
- Fuels (Models and Measurements)
- Disturbance Characteristics
- Topographic and GIS Spatial Analysis









LANDFIRE Existing Vegetation Cover



The link...

- The Biophysical Settings Model and Description bundles are linked to many spatial data sets
- Spatial datasets are not perfect-we are always working to improve
- Some areas for improvement are linked to the BpS descriptions

LANDFIRE Vegetation Models 🛛 🖸 🖾	
VD0T Model Results LANDFIRE Model Tracker Database v4.1.03 View Report Fields in green should match VDDT Allow model results to be edited Import from VDDT Database v4.1.03	LANDFIRE's Biophysical Settings for the Coterminous US
1610780 - Colorado Plateau Blackbrush-Mormon-tea Shrubland	Representing where our ecosystems were historically
General Classes Height/Cover Summary Disturbances Relevant Literature Biophysical Setting ID Biophysical Setting Name Land Cover Class Name Email 1610780 Cobrado Plateau Blackbrush-Hormon-tea Shrubland Upland Shrubland Modeler 1 Modeler 2 Geographic Range Biophysical Setting Borner Biophysical Setting Borner Modeler 2 Modeler 2 Occurs in the Suthvest. Southered Treet The Exological system covers in the Cobrado Plateau and benchinds, colival appeners or biophas Environ ranges from 500-1600m. Precipition is Generative 1/20. Subtrates are shallow. Model Model Model Model Distribution of the main of the mai	
perforance Description Disturbance Description Vegetation Scheme description Vegetation Description Vegetati	
Adjacencyl/dentification Concerns Uncharacteristic Native Conditions 1st MZ 16 Following fres, the avasion of non-native annual grasses is keyt, hvasion of exotic annual grasses is keyt, hvasion of exotic annual grasses is keyt, hvasion of exotic annual grasses have atterd the free regime it these areas. Uncharacteristic Native Conditions 11 MZ 16 Grad Basia 3rd MZ Interpret of Basia This BpS is split into multiple models (explain differences) Interpret Maxia Interpret Havaii Interpret Havaiii Interpret Havaii Interpret Havaiii Interpret Havaii Interpret Hava	BpS data, version 1.0.5 Data available from <u>www.landfire.gov</u>
State Description Issues/Problems Rockies 7h I/L Jurg assault Bods for Minkweit 6h I/L 6h I/L 5k I/L Sondra and Unbrahand deserts Southerskiet 9h I/L 5k I/L 5k I/L Sondra and Unbrahand deserts Southerskiet 9h I/L 5k I/L 5k I/L 5k I/L areas of cold desert would be located on Southerskiet 5k I/L	

Vegetation Model & Description Bundle

- WHAT: describe how ecosystems (Biophysical Settings) looked and functioned prior to major European Settlement
- WHY: to use as a reference to compare current conditions to (READ-not a prescription)
- HOW: worked with hundreds of experts to describe and model, followed by expert review, incorporation of feedback then QA/QC
- WHEN: ~ 2,000 models and descriptions completed in 2008. TNC's LANDFIRE team submitted 200-400 pages of documentation and associated models every two weeks.





WHAT

Two-part bundle

LANDFIRE Biophysical Setting Model

Biophysical Setting: 2810110 Ro			cky Mountain Aspen Forest and Woodland						
□ This BPS is lumped w □ This BPS is split into									
General Informa	ntion								
Contributors (also see	e the Comments field)	Date	4/27/2005						
Modeler 1 Kelly Pohl Modeler 2	kpohl@tnc.org	2		r Laurie Huckaby r Chuck Kostecka					
Modeler 3		Review		Vic Ecklund	vecklund@csu.org				
Vegetation Type		1	Map Zones	Model Zones					
Forested			28	0 Alaska	N-Cent.Rockies				
Dominant Species*	General Model Sources	2	0	0 California	Pacific Northwest				
	Literature	-	0	0 Great Basin					
POTR5	Cherature Local Data		0	0 Great Lakes	s Southeast				
STOR .			0	0 Northeast	S. Appalachians				
ARUV	Expert Estimate			Northern Pl	ains Southwest				

Geographic Range

Western Colorado, Utah, northern New Mexico, northern Arizona, central Nevada.

Biophysical Site Description

This type occurs on flat to moderately steep terrain (<50%) on all aspects. Elevation typically ranges from 2275m-3335m (7000' to 11000') in mapzone 28. Stable aspen typically occurs above grass, sagebrush, or PI. Soils are generally deep, mollic, cool, and moist. As a species, aspen is adapted to a much broader range of environments than most plants found associated with it.

Textual description informed by ecological model State-and-transition ecological model



Description: the Basics

General Classes Height/Cover Summar	y Disturbances Relevant Literature				
Biophysical Setting ID Biophysical	Setting Name Land C	Cover Class		Name	Email
5013110 North-Central	Interior Dry Oak Forest and Woodland Forest	ed	→ Modeler 1	Greg Nowacki	gnowacki@fs.fed.us
Geographic Range	Biophysical Site Description	Model	Modeler 2 Modeler 3		
Province 222. For Michigan 222J. For Wisconsin 222K, L and R.	This system occurs most commonly on interlobates where outwash, ice-contact, and end moraine landforms are situated	Dominant Species	Date	3/16/2007	
	between former glacial lobes. Other	QUAL Q	uercus alba		
	landforms suitable for development of the dry oak forest are sandy lake plain and	QUVE Q	uercus velutina		1
	dunes. Common to all these landforms is	QUEL	uercus ellipsoidalis		ſ
Disturbance Description	Vegetation Description	QUCO2 Q	uercus coccinea		
The North-Central Interior Dry Oak Forest	Oaks dominated the presettlement	CAGL8 Ca	arya glabra		-
and Woodland is predominantly Fire Regime I, characterized by low-to-	vegetation, especially white oak (Quercus alba), black oak (Quercus velutina),	PRSE2 Pr	unus serotina		
moderate severity surface fires.	northern pin oak (Quercus	SAAL5 Sa	assafras albidum		
Historically, indigenous fires accounted	ellipsoidalis), and bur oak (Quercus		uercus macrocarpa		-
for over 95% of the ignitions over these landscapes. Vegetation types varied	macrocarpa). This system is distinguished from North-Central Interior	Model Zone	Mapzon		BpS is lumped with:
Adjacency/Identification Concerns	Uncharacteristic Native Conditions	Alaska	1st		
This type intergrades and can be easily confused with North-Central	Though present historically, red maple has been typified as the "native	Great Bas	in 3rd	MZ This	BpS is split into multiple els (explain differences)
Interior Dry-Mesic Oak Forest and Woodland (1310). Fire suppression within	invasive" in oak forests. Its abundance in these systems measured in both stem	Northeast	4th		is (explain unerchees)
the last century has allowed this system	density and basal area has grown	Northern F	Plains 5th	MZ	
to be converted to that system on the loamier soils within the historic range	considerably due to fire suppression	Northern F	Rockies 6th	MZ	
proe loarnier soils within the historic range	and the marked increase in fire return	Pacific No	rthwest 7th	MZ	

Originally captured in the "Model Tracker Database"

Description: Succession Classes

Struct. Stage	Early Development 1	SCHIZ4 SONU2	ies Andropogon gerardii Schizachyrium	Indicator Sp Canopy Pos Upper Upper Upper maintained by	ition · · ·	Fire Fuel Senavior Model Structural Data (for upper layer lifeform): Min Canopy Closure 0 • % Max Canopy Closure 100 • % Min Height Herb 0m Max Height Herb >1.1m Max tree size class None
	frequently recurring fire. Replac Native Americans used these la years, tree seedlings and sprou to have large-scale impact, woo	cement fire ands for hu uts would r uld have ke	was modeled with the probability of occurring every 10 inting, and agriculture/native plant gathering. If fire is abs recruit into trees and form savannas . Heavy grazing, th ept certain patches from progressing to a woody shrub . Native grazing was modeled with the probability of occ) years. sent for a few lough unlikely vegetation	,	Upper Laver Lifeform (select one) Tree Shoub Upper Layer Lifeform is not Dominant If checked, please specify the dominant lifeform, and its minimum and maximum canopy cover and height: Fire Fuel behavior Model
		Spec		Canopy Pos	ition	Structural Data (for upper layer lifeform):
Landscape %	12	QUAL QUVE	Quercus alba Quercus velutina	Upper		Min Canopy Closure 11 - % Max Canopy Closure 20 - %
Cover Type	Mid Development 1	ANGE	Andropogon gerardii	Upper	╡	Min Height Tree 0m
Struct. Stage	Open 💌	SCHIZ4	Schizachyrium	Lower	-	Max Height Tree 25m
Description	fire was fairly frequent allowin convert to woodland condition years, would send class B to	ng some tr is (class C class A. S ss. Native (years and succeeds to class C. Savannas conditions ees to develop (5-15 yrs). Any area that does not burn). Replacement fire, modeled at the probability of occurring urface fire, modeled at the probability of occurring every grazing, modeled at the probability of occurring every 10	frequently wo ing every 40 / 33 years, wo	ould	Martree size class Large 21-33"DBH Upper Layor Lifeform (select one) Tree Shrub Hiero Upper Layer Lifeform is not Dominant If checked, please specify the dominant lifeform, and its minimum and maximum canopy cover and height:

Modeling: Succession Class Percent



Boxes = Succession classes. Lines = disturbances or succession

Modeling: Succession Class Percent

Inputs for VDDT modeling included:

- basic parameters for each Succession Class (structure, start and end age)
- types and annual probability of disturbances
- what happens when there is not a disturbance

Models were run:

10 times for 1,000 cells, 1,000 years



Modeling: Review

"High-touch" hands-on process

- 1. Experts reviewed models and descriptions
- 2. Reviews were incorporated into the descriptions & models
- 3. Automated and manual quality assurance and quality control.

Not perfect!



Delivered

- Description and Model bundles delivered every two weeks
- First cog in the machine of BpS, S-Class, Vegetation Departure and other mapping processes



Uses



Journal of Arid Environments

Volume 124, January 2016, Pages 388-397



CrossMark

Research

- Conservation planning
- Input for other models
- Fire management planning
- Ecological assessment

Precipitation regime classification for the Mojave Desert: Implications for fire occurrence

Jerry Tagestad^{a,} ≜ · ⊠, Matthew Brooks^b, Valerie Cullinan^a, Janelle Downs^a, Randy McKinley^b



Post-fire forest dynamics and climate variability affect spatial and temporal properties of spruce beetle outbreaks on a Sky Island mountain range

Christopher D. O'Connor^{a,c,*}, Ann M. Lynch^{b,c}, Donald A. Falk^{a,c}, Thomas W. Swetnam^c

Ecosystems DOI: 10.1007/s10021-013-9704-x © 2013 Springer Science+Business Media New York (Outside the USA)

Previous Fires Moderate Burn Severity of Subsequent Wildland Fires in Two Large Western US Wilderness Areas

Sean A. Parks,^{1,2}* Carol Miller,¹ Cara R. Nelson,² and Zachary A. Holden³

Why Review?

- "Blunders" e.g. typos, inconsistencies, and so on
- New science
- Missed opportunities
- Potential for upgraded delivery system
- Updated modeling software



Our Philosophy

BpS Review + Users = Better Products



BpS Review Process

- We are currently "cleaning" the BpS list, removing duplicates and near duplicates.
- We will post those documents, ~1200 of them, to a dedicated BpS Review website.
- We will then invite review. Contributors will have option to review only a Word document, or can do the document and the model.
- Most review will be conducted in contributors' locations, e.g. office desk, laptop, etc., though the LANDFIRE team will hold WebEx sessions and be available to help.
- Review will be incorporated and delivered via a Web Site (TBD).



Online Connections





LANDFIRE Program Home http://www.landfire.gov



Conservation Gateway: http://nature.ly.landfire



Twitter: <u>@nature LANDFIRE</u>



YouTube: LANDFIREvideo



Bulletins/Post cards via e-mail

Opt in: <u>http://eepurl.com/baJ_BH</u>



Email: <u>LANDFIRE@tnc.org</u>